

Chapter 4

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Rayleigh quotient

We begin with the following theorem¹.

Theorem 1. *If A is a real symmetric matrix, then the eigenvalues λ_i are real and we can take the eigenvectors \mathbf{q}_i so that they form an orthonormal basis, i.e., $\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j = \delta_{ij}$.*

Note that δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta: $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{ii} = 1$.

In Chapter 3, we studied that eigenvalues are given as roots of the characteristic polynomial $f_A(\lambda)$:

$$f_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = 0,$$

and we studied rootfinding methods in Chapter 2. So, we may think obtaining eigenvalues are not a big deal. But the following example shows that this calculation is unstable.

Example 1. Let us consider the following diagonal matrix A .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 2 & & & \\ & & 3 & & \\ & & & 4 & \\ & & & & 5 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} f_A(\lambda) &= (1 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda)(5 - \lambda) \\ &= -\lambda^5 + 15\lambda^4 - 85\lambda^3 + 225\lambda^2 - 274\lambda + 120. \end{aligned}$$

$$f_A(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$$

Suppose coefficients of $f_A(\lambda)$ are slightly modified and we have

$$g_A(\lambda) = -1.01\lambda^5 + 14.98\lambda^4 - 85\lambda^3 + 225\lambda^2 - 274\lambda + 120.$$

Then,

$$g_A(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0.99876, 2.21131, 2.36314, 4.62924 \pm 1.15532i.$$

Fall 2013 Math 471 Sec 2
Introduction to Numerical Methods
Manabu Machida (University of Michigan)

¹ Math 419

Definition 1. For a given real symmetric matrix A and any $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we define

$$R_A(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}.$$

This $R_A(\mathbf{x})$ is called the Rayleigh quotient.

If $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{q}_i$, then

$$R_A(\mathbf{q}_i) = \frac{\mathbf{q}_i^T A \mathbf{q}_i}{\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_i} = \frac{\mathbf{q}_i^T \lambda_i \mathbf{q}_i}{\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_i} = \lambda_i.$$

By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$R_A(\mathbf{x}) = R_A(\mathbf{q}_i) + \nabla R_A(\mathbf{q}_i) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_i) + O(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_i\|_2^2).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla R_A(\mathbf{x}) &= \nabla \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \right) = \frac{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}) \nabla(\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}) \nabla(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})}{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})^2} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}) 2A \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}) 2\mathbf{x}}{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} (A \mathbf{x} - R_A(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nabla R_A(\mathbf{q}_i) = \frac{2}{\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_i} (A \mathbf{q}_i - R_A(\mathbf{q}_i) \mathbf{q}_i) = 0.$$

Therefore, if $\mathbf{x} \approx \mathbf{q}_i$, then $R_A(\mathbf{x})$ is an approximation to λ_i and

$$R_A(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_i + O(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_i\|_2^2).$$

The power method

Suppose we have a large $n \times n$ matrix A . We are often interested in obtaining only a few largest eigenvalues of A , or even only the largest eigenvalue.

Let λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be the eigenvalues and \mathbf{q}_i be the associated orthonormal eigenvectors. We assume

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n|.$$

For a given vector \mathbf{x}_0 ($\|\mathbf{x}_0\|_2 = 1$), there exist constants c_1, \dots, c_n such that

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = c_1 \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{q}_n.$$

Let us suppose $c_1 \neq 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_0 \neq 0$. We construct \mathbf{x}_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= A\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \\ \mathbf{x}_k &= \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The first step is written as

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \beta_1 (c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n \mathbf{q}_n), \quad \beta_1 = \|c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n \mathbf{q}_n\|_2^{-1}.$$

In general, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \beta_k \left(c_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{q}_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k \mathbf{q}_n \right) = \beta_k c_1 \lambda_1^k \left[\mathbf{q}_1 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \mathbf{q}_2 + \dots + \frac{c_n}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \mathbf{q}_n \right], \quad (4.1)$$

where $\beta_k = |c_1 \lambda_1^k|^{-1} \left[1 + O(|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|^k) \right]$. Hence, $\mathbf{x}_k \rightarrow \pm \mathbf{q}_1$ (\pm depends on the sign of $c_1 \lambda_1^k$) and

$$\|\mathbf{x}_k - (\pm) \mathbf{q}_1\|_2 = O\left(\left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^k \right).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_k^T A \mathbf{x}_k &= \beta_k^2 (c_1 \lambda_1^k)^2 \left[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \right)^2 + \dots + \lambda_n \left(\frac{c_n}{c_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \lambda_1 + O\left(\left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^{2k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The convergence is linear with asymptotic rate $|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|^2$.

Remark 1. The above discussion holds true for general nonsymmetric matrices with linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$. Instead of (4.2), we can look at a nonzero element $x_i^{(k)}$ in (4.1). We have

$$\frac{x_i^{(k)}}{x_i^{(k-1)}} = \frac{\lambda_1}{|\lambda_1|} \left[1 + O\left(\left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|^k \right) \right].$$

The convergence is linear with asymptotic rate $|\lambda_2/\lambda_1|$.

Remark 2. Recall that the matrix A for $-D_+ D_-$ is tridiagonal:

$$A = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$ can be coded as the following loop.

```

1 for i=1:n
2   y(i)=(-x(i-1)+2*x(i)-x(i+1))/h^2;
3 end

```

This is more efficient than forming A and computing $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$ by direct matrix-vector multiplication.

The power method is implemented as follows.

```

Step 1 Give  $\mathbf{x}_0$  ( $\|\mathbf{x}_0\|_2 = 1$ ). Set  $\lambda^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}_0^T A \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $k = 1$ .
Step 2  $\mathbf{y} = A \mathbf{x}_{k-1}$ .
Step 3  $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{y} / \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ .
Step 4  $\lambda^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}_k^T A \mathbf{x}_k$ .
Step 5 Set  $k = k + 1$  and go to Step 2

```

Example 2. Let us try the power method for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1 = 5.214320$, $\lambda_2 = 2.460811$, and $\lambda_3 = 1.324869$. For example, we can choose

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain the following results.

| k | $\lambda^{(k)}$ | $ \lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1 $ | $ \lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1 / \lambda^{(k-1)} - \lambda_1 $ |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 0 | 5.000000 | 0.214320 | - |
| 1 | 5.181818 | 0.032502 | 0.151650 |
| 2 | 5.208193 | 0.006127 | 0.188513 |

Note that $\lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow \lambda_1$, $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| \rightarrow 0$, and $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| / |\lambda^{(k-1)} - \lambda_1| \rightarrow (\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The inverse power method

Here we try to find the smallest eigenvalue. We note that

$$A\mathbf{q}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{q}_i \Rightarrow A^{-1}\mathbf{q}_i = \lambda_i^{-1}\mathbf{q}_i.$$

Thus the largest eigenvalue of A^{-1} is λ_n^{-1} .

The inverse power method is implemented as follows.

- Step 1 Give \mathbf{x}_0 ($\|\mathbf{x}_0\|_2 = 1$). Set $\lambda^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}_0^T A \mathbf{x}_0$ and $k = 1$.
- Step 2 Solve $A\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_{k-1}$ (see Chapter 3).
- Step 3 $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{y}/\|\mathbf{y}\|_2$.
- Step 4 $\lambda^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}_k^T A \mathbf{x}_k$.
- Step 5 Set $k = k + 1$ and go to Step 2

Example 3. Let us try the inverse power method for the previous example. We obtain the following results.

| k | $\lambda^{(k)}$ | $ \lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_3 $ | $ \lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_3 / \lambda^{(k-1)} - \lambda_3 $ |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 0 | 5.000000 | 3.675131 | – |
| 1 | 3.816327 | 2.491457 | 0.677923 |
| 2 | 1.864903 | 0.540034 | 0.216754 |

Note that $\lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow \lambda_3$, $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_3| \rightarrow 0$, and $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_3|/|\lambda^{(k-1)} - \lambda_3| \rightarrow (\lambda_3/\lambda_2)^2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.